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Recent years have witnessed rapid developments of computer-aided drug design methods, which have reached accuracy that allows their routine practical applications in drug discovery campaigns. Protein structure-based methods are useful for the prediction of binding modes of small molecules and their relative affinity. The high-throughput docking of up to $10^6$ small molecules followed by scoring based on implicit-solvent force field can robustly identify micromolar binders using a rigid protein target. Molecular dynamics with explicit solvent is a low-throughput technique for the characterization of flexible binding sites and accurate evaluation of binding pathways, kinetics, and thermodynamics. In this review we highlight recent advancements in applications of ligand docking tools and molecular dynamics simulations to ligand identification and optimization.

Introduction
Computational methods have played pivotal role in drug discovery efforts for many years [1]. Development of several approved drugs including early examples of captopril [2], saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir [3], and tirofiban [4], has benefited substantially from the use of computer-aided drug design (CADD), which nowadays constitutes an essential part of the discovery pipeline at pharmaceutical companies [5,6]. The CADD tools are commonly classified into ligand-based (two-dimensional, 2D) and protein structure-based (3D). In this review we will focus on the 3D methods and discuss their potential and limitations. Their principles and implementations have evolved together with the concepts of molecular recognition on protein surface. In particular, the historic ‘lock and key’ mechanism that served as a textbook explanation of substrate recognition at the enzyme active site has gradually developed into ‘hand and glove’ concept to account for protein flexibility and mutual adaptability of receptor and ligand.

Structure-based CADD supports hit identification and medicinal chemistry optimization by addressing two major tasks: predicting how small molecules bind to the protein target, and estimating (relative) binding affinity. We first review docking, originally inspired by the lock and key concept, which is used for both tasks. We then present a fragment-based method for high-throughput docking based on molecular mechanics and transferable force field. Finally, we discuss molecular dynamics (MD) protocols, which provide atomistic details of hand and glove-like association events. The use of MD simulation-based methods is increasing steadily as they are most adequate for the analysis of thermodynamics and kinetics of ligand binding and unbinding. The section on fragment docking focuses on the methods and programs developed in the group of the last author, while the review of MD simulations of binding is more general.

Docking of small molecules to proteins
Automatic docking is concerned with the determination of the optimal position(s) and orientation(s) of a small molecule in a protein target. It has been reported that while the success of the approach is target-dependent and software suite-dependent, it poorly correlates with the binding affinity but rather depends on the quality of interactions that the ligand makes to the protein [7]. Quality of protein–ligand interactions can be to some extent expressed by the ligand efficiency (LE), the average binding energy per non-hydrogen (or heavy) atom of the ligand. However, it should be noted that most studies of the predictive ability of docking are biased toward the molecules that bind the protein target with detectable affinity and available crystal structure. A study of about 300 kinase inhibitors has shown that a simple scoring function (van der Waals energy only) outperforms total energy (i.e. van der Waals and electrostatics) in fitting binding affinity values but has poor predictive power (i.e. lower enrichment than ranking by total energy) for in silico screening by high-throughput docking [8]. The real challenge of in silico screening is the calculation of relative binding energies with sufficient accuracy such that there are as many true positives as possible among the final selection of compounds for in vitro testing. In turn, the successful evaluation of binding energy relies on the
accurate prediction of the binding mode. Recent studies have reported high success rate of fragment screening by docking using transferable force fields with implicit solvent treatment of electrostatics desolvation effects [9,10].

**Virtual screening by high-throughput docking**

The principle of virtual screening is to evaluate the library of molecules for possibility of binding to the protein, and to shortlist the ones that are most likely to bind with the highest affinity. As mentioned above, the main challenge is not to identify the few nanomolar binders in the small-molecule library (if any at all) but rather to reduce the number of false negatives in the subset of compounds that are selected for validation by *in vitro* assays. There are few studies that systematically analyze the success ratio of docking campaigns (also called the hit rate), that is, the percentage of compounds correctly predicted to bind the protein target. While many papers report very good hit rates [11–13,14,15], the criteria defining a hit are always subjective and study-dependent. The most stringent criteria is to consider as validated only those hits confirmed by the crystal structure of target-ligand complex. In this context it has to be noted that even for millimolar binders it is possible to obtain the crystal structure of the complex with the target protein. On the other hand, it can be very difficult and sometimes impossible to solve the crystal structure of complex with a potent ligand (e.g. nanomolar affinity) because the binding site can be either occluded by crystal contacts or not accessible to the ligand due to the tight packing of the protein molecules in the crystal (which mainly affects soaking experiments). Most commonly used criteria for the hit rate are based on affinity as measured in biochemical assays or biophysical experiments *in vitro* (typically $K_D$ or $IC_{50}$ below 100 μM) or semi-quantitative data, for example, from ligand-based NMR spectroscopy [16]. Such success stories have to be approached with caution, as it is clear that the selection process often involves visual inspection and examination through users with significant expertise and can be biased toward scaffolds disclosed previously in the literature. To properly benchmark the performance of different software suites, common criteria should be introduced and human intervention should be minimized which is not simple because of the complexity of the analysis of binding poses [17] and/or costs related to the *in vitro* validation.

**Computer programs for flexible ligand docking**

There is a plethora of software suites developed for the automatic docking of flexible small molecules into (mainly rigid) protein structures. On the other hand, only very few docking programs have gained broad recognition and are used by a large community [18]. These include Dock [19], GOLD [20], and AutoDock [21]. These solutions have gained high popularity due to their pioneering role in the field and thanks to extensive developments, which have turned them into user-friendly computer programs. More recently, rDock has emerged as an efficient docking tool distributed as open source code [22]. The most popular docking tools share similar sampling procedures (genetic algorithms-based optimization in the conformational space of the rotatable bonds or grid-based searches) and some of them use force field-based evaluation of the binding energy. A high degree of convergence toward the same pose in multiple docking runs of the same ligand (with different initial random populations of the genetic algorithm) was reported as necessary condition for successful prediction of the binding mode [23], despite being frequently neglected. Importantly, the probability of successful prediction of the binding mode decreases substantially as the intrinsic flexibility of the ligand grows [23], and depends on high-quality interactions made with the receptor [7]. Thus predictive ability has been validated for rigid fragments [9,24], while docking of peptides with more than a dozen rotatable bonds (backbone $\varphi$ and $\psi$ angles and side chain $\chi$ angles) is considered speculative.

**Fragment docking**

Nearly 20 years ago, the group of the last author developed a program for high-throughput docking of rigid fragments called SEED (Solvation Energy for Exhaustive Docking) [25]. SEED performs an exhaustive search in a discrete space defined by rotations around individual protein/fragment hydrogen bonds and/or hydrophobic contacts (Figure 1). This way, the essential feature of fragment-based drug discovery — making the high quality interactions with the protein [26] — is considered as a prerequisite and allows to reduce the complexity of search in the conformational space, and to enrich the docked poses in positives. A very efficient evaluation of bad contacts for filtering out poses with steric clashes and a two-step evaluation of the binding energy make the execution of SEED extremely rapid (about 1s per fragment). In both steps the energy evaluation is based on a transferable force field with continuum dielectric treatment of desolvation effects. The first step filters out the majority of the poses by the rapid evaluation of the van der Waals and Coulombic interactions on a 3D grid with a crude and very efficient approximation of desolvation effects [27]. In the second step the nonbonding interactions are calculated without grid-based approximation, and desolvation penalties are evaluated by the generalized Born equation with numerical calculation of the Born radii [28]. Importantly, the SEED binding energy does not require any fitting parameter and thus SEED can be used also for protein targets for which inhibitors have not been reported.

Successful high-throughput docking campaigns with SEED have been published for proteases, kinases, and bromodomains [9,24,29]. In a recent application SEED was used to screen for the CREBBP bromodomain a library of nearly 1500 fragments, which took less than one hour on a commodity computer, and resulted in a 50%
success ratio (i.e. of 39 putative binders 20 were confirmed by ligand-observed NMR spectroscopy), and four crystal structures [147]. Moreover, the binding mode of the fragment hits predicted by SEED is essentially identical to the one observed in the crystal structures, which were solved a posteriori (Figure 2). The program SEED is available for free from the homepage of A.C.

**Fragment growing**

Once the hits are identified, they are subjected to chemical elaboration for the optimization of their binding affinity and/or drug-like properties. In one approach called fragment growing, further chemical moieties are added to the binding fragment to pick up additional interactions in the binding site. Such modifications can also benefit from docking of potential derivatives and other CADD approaches. As the accuracy of docking is reduced with the conformational complexity of the ligand, restraining the scaffold with confidently-determined binding pose of an anchor head group allows for more accurate binding mode and energy predictions of flexible molecules. Tethered docking relies on maintaining the binding mode of the fragment hit during the determination of the binding pose of the derived molecule (Figure 3a). Thus, such approach can be considered a knowledge-based implementation of the essence of fragment growing, which is realized by means of restraints to reduce the computational complexity.

A computational protocol called ALTA-VS (Anchor-based Library TAiloring Virtual Screening) that combines the advantages of high-throughput docking with those of fragment-based hit identification (Figure 3b) was first applied to β-secretase, a protease implicated in Alzheimer’s disease [30,31]. It consists of fours steps (Figure 3b, [15,32]): (1) decomposition of each compound of the initial library to its rigid fragments by cutting at rotatable bonds; (2) docking of the fragments by SEED with evaluation of van der Waals interactions, Coulombic energy, and desolvation penalties (using the generalized Born equation); (3) flexible docking of the parent molecules that contain the top ranking fragments which are used as non-covalent binding anchors during docking; and (4) energy minimization with final evaluation of binding energy including desolvation effects by numerical solution of the finite-difference Poisson equation. Note that both steps (2) and (4) make use of the continuum dielectric approximation but the final step is based on the Poisson equation which is more accurate than the generalized Born approximation. Two essential advantages characterize the ALTA-VS approach with respect to the brute-force docking of a large library of flexible molecules. The first key element is the substantially smaller computational requirements for the fragment-anchored docking of a set of $10^3$–$10^4$ parent molecules than the non-anchored docking of a multi-million library of compounds (Figure 3b). The other advantage is much higher accuracy of docked poses of rigid fragments [147,297,33] than flexible molecules [23]. The successful identification of hit compounds by the ALTA-VS approach has been reported for several protein targets [8,15,30–32,34]. When starting from a known fragment, it constitutes an attractive implementation of computationally driven ‘SAR by catalogue’. More recently, the group of the last author has also been implementing a fragment-growing algorithm that goes beyond the commercially available known chemical space. Importantly, it takes into account synthetic feasibility of the predicted molecules (Batiste et al., submitted).
Structural validation of the fragment-based *in silico* screening campaign for the CREBBP bromodomain [14]. (a–d) The binding mode in the crystal structures (carbon atoms of ligands in cyan) are compared to the binding pose predicted by docking with SEED (carbon atoms in yellow) for compounds (a) 1, (b) 2, (c) 3, and (d) 4 which correspond to the PDB structures 5MQE, 5MQK, 5MPZ, and 5MQG, respectively. Conserved water molecules and water molecules present in the crystal structure but not used for docking are shown as red and cyan spheres, respectively. Compounds 1 and 3 have a different relative orientation of the substituents, which could not be predicted by SEED since the compounds were docked as rigid molecules. Reprinted from [14] with permission from Elsevier.

Contemporary challenges in docking

Even though software solutions for docking based on end point calculations (i.e. evaluation of binding energy using a single, rigid structure of the protein) have achieved performance that guarantees their standing involvement in discovery pipelines, several issues are to be addressed.

Improved description of binding energetics: polarization effects

The continuous and vigorous development of additive force fields for proteins [35–37] and small molecules [38–40] plays a fundamental role in the correct evaluation of relative binding affinities upon docking or MD-based (un)binding protocols. Furthermore, efficient tools for automatic atomtyping and parametrization of large libraries of compounds have been developed [41\*]. Despite the robustness and accuracy of classical force fields, a number of energetic effects of binding remain challenging to be described by energy functions based on fixed partial charges. Key backbone and/or side chain groups can be treated as quantum mechanical (QM) probes to accurately approximate the local electronic structures in the binding site [42,43]. Briefly, QM probes use semi-empirical Hamiltonian for the evaluation of the interaction energy between ligand and individual polar groups of the protein to reproduce polarization and charge-transfer effects. One advantage is that partial charges are not needed. The main drawback is that dispersion effects are not captured by efficient semi-empirical methods. Alternatively, application of polarizable force fields is expected to improve the description of binding energetics in the coming years [44].

Solvent treatment: conserved water molecules

The treatment of water molecules remains a challenge, as it is difficult to predict which solvent molecules are
Protein structure-based drug design: from docking to molecular dynamics

Figure 3

(a) The principle of tethered ligand docking — only the movement of the tethered black part of the molecule is allowed, the fragment-derived anchor shown in white is restrained to its position. (b) Anchor-based Library Tailoring Approach for Virtual Screening (ALTA-VS) [32]. A chemical library, with up to tens of millions of compounds, is decomposed into non-rotatable fragments, which are docked and scored. Parent compounds containing the top ranking fragments (red) are retrieved and docked with tethering of the fragment head-group. Those docked molecules are then further energy minimized with a force field and evaluation of electrostatic desolvation effects by the finite-difference Poisson approach. Thus, the ALTA-VS protocol selects 10–10^2 compounds for *in vitro* validation (bottom, left panel) from libraries of 10^5–10^7 molecules (top, left) by docking only 10^3–10^4 fragments (top, middle) and 10^3–10^4 compounds (bottom, middle).
obligate in the binding site, and which can be displaced by incoming ligand. The water stability in the binding site can be determined based on analysis of multiple crystal structures, or more thoroughly by running MD simulations with explicit solvent [45]. The treatment of solvent during high-throughput docking can be mixed [12,14*,29*,33,46*,47,48], i.e. some water molecules that are structurally conserved in the binding site (i.e. those that act as bridges in intermolecular hydrogen bonds) are usually considered explicitly, while bulk water can be approximated efficiently by implicit solvent models to account for desolvation effects [25,27].

**Binding site flexibility**

Protein flexibility constitutes another challenge of computer-aided drug discovery. Since the advent of structural biology methods and their application in structure-based drug discovery [49], it has become apparent that many protein binding sites cannot be represented as a single snapshot, as significant structural rearrangements take place to accommodate diverse ligands. The pioneering work of Wells and coworkers targeting the interleukin-8 established protein-protein interactions as challenging targets to comprise flat and featureless surfaces that are inherently flexible and adapt to different binders [50,51]. Since then, several other examples of protein flexibility upon ligand binding have been shown. Cryptic pockets could be discovered by accident or through detailed analysis, often by more than one independent approach, as in the case of the polo-box domain of polo-like kinase 1, a mediator of phosphorylation-dependent protein-protein interaction and cell-cycle dependent cancer target [52–54] (Figure 4a,b). It has been also shown that structural adaptations are not limited to protein-protein interfaces — the enzymes are also more plastic than originally predicted.

---

**Figure 4**

(a) The principle of observed ligand-dependent conformational changes of the protein surface. (b) Four crystallographic conformational states of the surface of polo-like kinase 1 exposed to different ligands [52,61] and unpublished data. (c) Comparison of docked and crystal structure (carbon atoms in cyan and green, respectively) of the hit compound targeting the CREBBP bromodomain [82]. (d) MD time series revealing stable hydrogen bonds between the key moieties of the compound and the protein (shown in blue and red, as in the panel c). Adapted with permission from Ref. [46]. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.
by lock and key model, as shown in the case of mollusk acetylcholine binding protein, a structural model for the campaigns targeting the corresponding human enzyme [55]. Information on binding site flexibility, available from experimental and/or simulation studies, can be taken into account to prepare one or more conformations of the target protein for (high-throughput) docking [46*].

Molecular dynamics (MD) and receptor flexibility
MD simulations have been long proposed to provide insight into protein dynamics beyond that available crystallographically, and unravel novel cryptic binding sites, expanding the druggability of the targets. One of the first described approaches was the relaxed complex scheme, which combines all-atom nanosecond-long MD simulation of the protein target to describe its conformational flexibility with rapid docking of small molecules to the protein snapshots saved along the MD run [56,57*]. This scheme has seen a number of successful applications, including one to the cancer-relevant MDM2/MDMx-p53 interaction [58] or HIV integrase [59]. Rewardingly, the cryptic pocket first proposed in silico in the latter case, has been then validated experimentally and is exploited by enzyme inhibitors approved for use against HIV infection in the clinic [60].

While the idea is conceptually correct, often the conformational change needed to uncover the pocket would not be observed at the 1-μs timescale accessible to explicit solvent MD simulations with conventional protocols on commodity compute clusters, highlighting the need for more sophisticated sampling schemes. The molecular dynamics-induced fit (MD-IF) protocol makes use of atomistic simulations to increase (or reduce) the aperture of subpocket(s) in the active site [63]. The initial pose of the ligand that induces the fit can be obtained by manual docking of a known inhibitor into the target protein or structural alignment of the target with the holo structure of a cognate enzyme [64]. Another approach to the long timescale problem predicates on the fact that the cryptic sites are more likely to open (or remain open) in the presence of their cognate ligand, and several groups have proposed to perform ligand-mapping simulations. These include the MD-based protocol called SILCS (Site Identification by Ligand Competitive Saturation), which uses high concentration of the small-molecule ligands to map possible binding sites on protein surface. In this case the ligand aggregation is avoided by turning off the attractive part of the Lennard-Jones potential [65,66]. Alternatively, the aggregation can be avoided by running the simulation in the presence of lower ligand concentration (e.g. 0.2 μM for benzene), which has been demonstrated to efficiently probe the conformational space of Plk1 and propose new cryptic pocket, subsequently validated experimentally [61*]. More recently, enhanced sampling by Hamiltonian replica-exchange in the presence of ligand probes has been shown to reproduce previous results (e.g. those for Plk1) and be less computationally expensive [67]. Its applicability to unprecedented targets remains to be seen though.

MD simulations of ligand binding and unbinding
The application of MD to drug discovery projects is expanding, following the need for insights into binding, unbinding, and conformational change events at spatial and temporal resolution that is not available experimentally [10,68]. MD simulations can be used to map ligand binding sites and analyze (un)binding pathways [69,70*,71–73]. Extensive MD simulations have been performed to determine binding sites and bound conformations of allosteric inhibitors of the M2 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor [74*]. These simulations have revealed a new binding site dependent on cation-π interactions which is placed 15 Å away from the classic recognition site, and was validated by radioligand binding experiments.

Unbiased simulations of ligand unbinding are useful, not only because they provide insight into affinity of the complex [71]. It is also possible to study the dynamics of complex formation and dissociation, and quantify complete energy landscape and kinetics for these processes [70*]. It has been reported that the unbinding rates are strongly dependent on the state of the protein receptor, which in turn depends on the conditions of the experiment and in particular the concentration of the ligand. Therefore, as the unbinding of small ligands can be order(s) of magnitude faster than relaxation (i.e. conformational change) of the protein, it is crucial to select the right conformational state when executing high-throughput docking campaigns [75]. The ligand binding/unbinding kinetics can also have strong implications in pharmacology, as the residence time of the complex has been proposed to be more accurate predictor of drug efficacy than the affinity itself [76]. As a result, a number of simulation protocols have been designed to address this issue and estimate the kinetic parameters of drug (un)binding [77].

MD simulations for ligand optimization
MD simulations are used frequently to guide further optimization of the molecules stemming from in silico discovery campaigns, particularly in the absence of a crystal structure of the complex with the target protein. Even if the structure has been solved, MD may provide insight as to which interactions are stable over time and contribute mostly to binding. This way, in a campaign to develop compounds targeting the bromodomain of CREBBP, MD simulations revealed the amide linker of an initial hit compound as not contributing directly to binding [46*] (Figure 4c) and thus replaceable (Batiste et al., submitted). In another example targeting the EphB4 tyrosine kinase MD simulations were used to prioritize the docking hits that were maintaining a stable hydrogen bond network in
the protein active site, which were then validated as true inhibitors with nanomolar affinity [78].

**Perspective**

Two main techniques seem to emerge in the contemporary application of protein structure-based CADD methods. They are particularly useful in the initial phase (ligand identification) and advanced phase (ligand optimization) of drug discovery projects, respectively. For ligand identification, high-throughput docking of large libraries of small molecules (up to 10^9 molecules of 10–25 non-hydrogen atoms each) to a rigid protein structure is the method of choice. Importantly, classical force fields with implicit solvation and end point calculations (i.e. evaluation of binding energy using only one structure of the complex) have shown substantial predictive power [9,12,14*,15,24,29*,46*,79*].

For ligand optimization, MD simulation-based free energy calculations can be carried out on small sets (up to a few hundreds) of related molecules. The prediction of relative binding affinity by explicit solvent MD simulations is the more accurate the higher the pairwise compound similarity as statistical convergence, that is, sufficient sampling, is easier to achieve if the binding mode does not change substantially. The MD-based protocols include free energy perturbation and thermodynamic integration [80,81], alchemical free energy calculations [82,83], and umbrella sampling (see [84] for the theory and [85] for a successful application). Furthermore, MD simulations of spontaneous (un)binding can provide atomistic information on pathways and kinetics [70*,71–73,74*,75], and have shown potential for the identification of allosteric sites [69,74*]. While the rigid-protein docking of large libraries of fragments can be carried out on a conventional desktop (or even laptop) computer, enhanced sampling protocols and the availability of a compute cluster are essential for the MD-based calculations of (relative) free energies and binding kinetics. The continuous development of graphical processing units (GPUs) is benefitting the MD-based protocols substantially.

**Note**

A recent paper has disclosed four fragment hits for the bromodomain of BAZ2A which is a protein target implicated in prostate cancer [86]. These hits were identified by high-throughput docking using the SEED program (see section Fragment docking) and consensus scoring based on force field energy terms with generalized Born solvation. The predicted binding mode of the four fragment hits was validated by X-ray crystallography (PDB codes 5MGJ, 5MGK, 5MGL, 5MGM). Notably, these are the first crystal structures of the BAZ2A bromodomain in the complex with non-peptidic small molecules.
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